



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/704,548	11/03/2000	Yuichi Kato	50063-059	4661
75	7590 07/01/2005		EXAMINER	
McDermott Will & Emery			BOCCIO, VINCENT F	
600 13th Street NW Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3 ,			2616	

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/704,548	KATO ET AL.	KATO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Vincent F. Boccio	2616		
The M Period for Reply		ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	Idress	
THE MAILING - Extensions of tir after SIX (6) MO - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply v Any reply receiv	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ne may be available under the provisions of 37 NTHS from the mailing date of this communic reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da reply is specified above, the maximum statutor within the set or extended period for reply will,	7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a i	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timel ITHS from the mailing date of this considered BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				•	
1)⊠ Respor	nsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>Amendment of 3/7/05</u> .			
2a)⊠ This ac	tion is FINAL . 2b)[☐ This action is non-final.			
•	• •	allowance except for formal matt under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	•	e merits is	
Disposition of C	laims				
4a) Of the first transfer of the first tran	is) 1-21 is/are pending in the applying above claim(s) is/are very is/are allowed. is) 1-21 is/are rejected. is) is/are objected to. is) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.			
Application Pap	ers				
9)∐ The spe	cification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.			
		☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.		
Applicar	nt may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	- ' ' ' -	correction is required if the drawing the Examiner. Note the attached	•		
Priority under 38	5 U.S.C. § 119				
a)⊠ All I 1.⊠ C 2.□ C 3.□ C	c) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority doc Certified copies of the priority doc Copies of the certified copies of the Copies of the certified copies of the Copies of the International	cuments have been received in A ne priority documents have been	pplication No received in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s)				·	
	ences Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) D Notice of Drafts	person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC	948) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTC ·	D-152)	

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 2616.

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 3/7/05 against amended all claim amended have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- {A} In re pages 12-13, applicant states,
- 1) "As shown in Fig. 7, the reference discloses an IDL which directly specifies a special effect applied to "video objects" (the claimed image data) as a specific object in the "asset/object bin.",
- 2) "Escobar does not disclose mapping data which correspondence between an editing effect name one of a plurality of effect data, as recited.",
- 3) "... the editing management data indirectly specifies the effect data representing the editing effect by using the editing effect name. Therefore, the editing management data differs from the IDL disclosed in Escobar in structure.", and
- 4) "Further, the claims recite changing the mapping data representing correspondence between "an editing effect name" and "one of the plurality of the editing effect." This modification process is different from an object editing process disclosed in Escobar.".

In response the examiner searches Escobar for the IDL, found in Fig. 5 G, associated with Fig. 5 A, being a RUN application.

Furthermore, the IDL at col. 4, lines 17-, is an "INTERACTIVE DECISION LIST", which capture the editing decisions, typically represented bin ACSII, an interactive multimedia application can be edited using a standard text editor and items can be added, deleted or changed.

When an application is run at the time of running, one could say that since the names are linked or mapped to effects, one could say they are directly specified, but, since editing can be done or modification to the editing effect, associated with the name, can be changed, without changing the name, effectively the objects have an indirect relationship, as claimed.

If not, can applicant show that, upon editing an existing effect (after creation Fig. 5 B), editing an effect Fig. 5 C,

Art Unit: 2616

that a name of the object, upon modification, must be RENAMED, such as Special Effect 15, being a Fade CHL 1 to CHL 2, that the name is required to be changed upon modification with respect to Fig. 5 C ??

Since the examiner has not located this requirement, upon modification of object effects, the mapping data linked or associated with the objects can be modified without changing the name, therefore, in effect, has an indirect correspondence between the name and effect data, therefore, only the effect or mapping representing the effect, associated with a NAME, is or can be modified, without a name change, upon running the application to view the sequence, with prior modification of an object changed will be used or the modified version, because it has been changed in name, therefore, is an indirect relationship as applicant also discloses the same, there is no difference that the examiner can state, besides slightly different ways of describing what is really disclosed.

The examiner does not require exact language to realize applicant has claimed substantially the same, merely with a slightly different way of describing or claiming, using slightly different wording, but, not considered to be different in function but, merely slightly different in wording.

Further see the additional details addressing the newly presented claim language below.

The primary examiner invites applicant to discuss any issues not deemed clear to applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Escobar et al. (US 5,659,793).

Regarding claim 1, Escobar discloses and meets the limitations associated with a method and corresponding apparatus for editing motion video to generate a series of

Application/Control Number: 09/704,548

Art Unit: 2616

edited motion video image data, the video editing apparatus comprising:

The examiner incorporates by reference the last detailed action against the claims and will only address the arguments and newly recited language argued against the claims, deemed met by the reference Escobar, as will be clearly provided.

The claims are amended to further recite,

"an effect data memory that stores a plurality of effect data, wherein each of the plurality of effect data specifies an editing effect applicable to one or more of the plurality of image data", which this limitation was not particularly argued but, reads on having a plurality of editing effects that can be made save and used as more than once or reused or used as many times as desired by a user doing the actual editing, in accord to Fig. 5 A, objects being one form of editing effects, can be created 5131, edited after creation 5132, defined by name Fig. 7, "Special effect 15 (Fade CHL 1 to CHL 2), which the name 15 implies that there may exist for user selection 1-14, and used Special Effect 15, as users can create many objects and put into bins to be used in editing as desired.

Col. 6, Escobar,

"Assets or Objects are stored in "bins" or subdirectories each of which reflects objects of a particular type or category."

"Other objects are more functional and may be reused in the development of any applicant."

"Typically, these properties are defined at the time of creation of the objects and can be specified or edited as required using the functions associated with buttons 171 and 172 of Fig. 1."

Escobar further meets the newly recited claim language of: "editing management data specifies a sequence of the image data and the editing effect name in time series", Fig. 7, beginning and ending times columns.

Application/Control Number: 09/704,548

Art Unit: 2616

Further reads on the claim language as recited,

"an image editing unit that applies the editing effect, which is indirectly referred by the editing effect name using the mapping data stored in the effect setting memory" &

"a modification unit so as to modify the correspondence between the editing effect name and the effect data among the plurality of effect data."

Applicant's disclosure page 6 line 19 to page 7-, as stated in the specification,

"advantageously enable the screen effect to be changed at only the selected positions by indirect specification In the example of Fig. 1, both the editing effect names B and C mapped to the screen effect A. In the case where the contents corresponding to the editing effect name B need changing to the screen effect C, the screen effect A is changed to screen effect C, the screen effect A is changed to the screen effect C only at the positions having the effect name B, whereas the screen effect A is kept unchanged at the positions having the editing effect name C."

The examiner will make an attempt to clarify what is disclosed.

Effects defined as A, C, called "the screen effects", which can be associated with "editing effects names", B and C,

wherein editing effect B need changing to "the screen effect C", the screen affect A is changed to the screen effect C, for "editing effect name B", meaning that "EDITING EFFECT Name B", is only a name which is mapped or linked or associated with the effect, created being an asset, wherein asset or object or effects can be modified with respect to, Fig. 5 C, since there exists no renaming of objects in the modification of object option Fig. 5 C, with respect to Fig. 5 A, dictating all subroutines or programs to modify objects create 5131, Edit at 5132, the names do not change, therefore, anywhere an object is Used, such as Fig. 7, "Special Effect 15, which is a Fade CHL 1 to CHL 2), can be modified without changing the name, therefore, in effect the names are indirectly associated because they are mapped and remapped to different effects, as is of Escobar.

Art Unit: 2616

The assets are created, names for each are established, used as objects, which are mapped to the effect name, these object names are used and reused, such as tools, wherein the object or tool names do not change (see editing of objects Fig. 5 C), but the function or effect is or can be changed as desired by a user, by being mapped or linked or associated, to the actual effect they perform, which can be modified without changing the name, as shown in Fig. 5 C, therefore, are indirect as claimed.

Conclusion

2. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Fax Information

Any response to this action should be faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for communication intended for entry)

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to the examiner of record, Monday-Tuesday & Thursday-Friday, 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM Vincent F. Boccio (571) 272-7373.

Primary Examiner, Boccio, Vincent 6/25/05

VINCENT BOCCIO
PRIMARY EXAMINER